Interview Summary

Application No. 10/016,067

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

Ljiljana V. Ciric

3743

Philippe et al.

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO per	
(1) Ljiljana V. Ciric	(3)
(2) Linda K. Russell, Reg. No. 34,918	(4)
Date of Interview Feb 14, 2003	
Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2)	applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)	XI No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: 30	
Identification of prior art discussed: n/a	
Agreement with respect to the claims f)□ was reached.	g)□ was not reached. h)⊠ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general na	ture of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or
any other comments: Examiner Ciric telephoned Attorney Russell to suggest that a s	Supplemental amendment he filed correcting a typographical
deficiency in claim 30 which would have rendered claim 30 no	
supplemental amendment correcting claim 30. This amendment	nt was submitted via facsimile on February 18, 2003 and
was subsequently entered as Paper No. 7.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendme allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no cop available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	ents which the examiner agreed would render the claims y of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate	e record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of	section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE
BEST AVAILA	BLE COPY ART UNIT 3743

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required